# Symposium: "Systematic reviews - Better Policy Implementation?"

# Preliminary Agenda

September 13 University of Bergen Bergen, Norway



Berkeley Social Welfare



UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN





**Organizing committee at the University of Bergen:** *Marit Skivenes* and the *Center for Research on Discretion and Paternalism.* 

Organizing committee at INSP: Douglas J. Besharov and Neil Gilbert

#### 09:00–09:15 Welcome and Introductions

Marit Skivenes, University of Bergen Douglas Besharov, University of Maryland Neil Gilbert, University of California, Berkeley

#### 09:15-10:45 Are Systematic Reviews the Next Step in Evidence-Based Policy Analysis?

- □ What are Campbell Collaboration systematic reviews? How are they used? Howard White, Campbell Collaboration
- □ Other systematic (and non-systematic) literature reviews for policy and planning Jacob Klerman, Abt Associates

10:45–11:00 Coffee Break (incl. snack)

#### 11:00–12:15 Are Systematic Reviews the Next Step in Evidence-Based ... (cont.)

- o Adding a formal policy analysis Martin Potucek, Charles University
- Establishing National and Regional Centers for Systematic Reviews Howard White, Campbell Collaboration
- Personnel
- Funding
- Auspice and structure

# 12:15 Lunch

Venue TBA.

# **13:15** Seminar on Systematic Reviews (optional)

Seminar room 5 at Faculty of Law, Magnus Lagabøtes Plass 1. The Center for Research on Discretion and Paternalism will plan a few touristic activity options for those not attending the seminar

## 13:15–16:15 Seminar on Systematic Reviews

Developing a Protocol Howard White, Campbell Collaboration

- Selecting a topic and deciding its scope
- Search strategy, including software and data bases
- o Inclusion/exclusion criteria, including methodologies
- o Quality assessments
- Data extraction
- Evidence gap maps
- Synthesis (Jacob Klerman, Abt Associates)
  - Qualitative
    - □ When appropriate
    - □ Order for presenting findings (thematically, chronologically, etc.)
    - □ Degree of study quality assessment
  - Quantitative (Meta-analysis)
    - $\Box$  When appropriate
    - □ Dealing with heterogeneity (fixed vs random effects)
    - □ Meta-regressions
- Discussion/Analysis (Douglas Call, University of Maryland)
  - How the findings fit within the program context (e.g., program theory, program design, implementation, and the possibly changing counterfactual)
  - o Generalizability to other contexts
  - Implications for policy
- **Publication** 
  - The Campbell process (Howard White, Campbell Collaboration)
  - o Journal publication (Jacob Klerman, Abt Associates)

## **18:00 Business Dinner**

De Bergenske, HZK at Hotell Zander K, Zander Kaaes gate 8. Sponsored by Centre for Research on Discretion and Paternalism.

# □ European Attitudes about Immigration and their Political Consequences<sup>1</sup>

Mark H. Lopez, Pew Research Center

**Comments/reactions:** *Guido Merzoni*, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, and *Sagrario Segado Sánchez-Cabezudo*, INED

□ The Future of Europe: What the Polls Say about the Attitudes of Europeans toward the Future of Europe and the European Union and about the Future of Their Own Countries

Mark H. Lopez, Pew Research Center

Country specific comments/reactions from Martin Potůček, Charles University and Pawel Polawski, University of Warsaw

 Implications for Higher Education If the European Union Changes Paola Mattei, University of Milan Comments/Reactions: David Reingold, Purdue University

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This talk will also be given at the breakfast seminar on Friday, Sept. 14.